

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/046,607	YANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allow

(1) Marianne L. Padgett.

(3) Andy Caruso.

(2) Bob Santandrea (message).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2003

Time: 1:50pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**MARIANNE PADGETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER**


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: correcting logic or punctuation problem in claim 4, as well as a typographical type error. In the injecting steps of claim 4, the "oxidant" limitations need to be moved in front of the "at least..." limitations. so that they are not ambiguously associated with that limitations' choices..